## 93 RF 4372

## DIST BENEDETTI, R.L BENJAMIN A BERMAN, H.S. BRANCH, D.B. CARNIVAL, G.J. DAVIS, J.G. FERRERA, D.W. HANNI, B.J. HARMAN, L. K HEALY, TJ HEDAHL, T HILBIG, J.G IDEKER, E.H. KIRBY WA KUESTER, A.W. LEE, E.M. MANN, H.P MARX, G.E McDONALD, M.M. MCKENNA F.G. MONTROSE MORGAN, R.V. POTTER, G.L PIZZUTO, V.M RILEY, J.H. SANDLIN, N.B SHEPLER, R.L STEWART, D.I SULLIVAN, M.T. SWANSON E.P. V WILKINSON, R.B. WILLIAMS, S. (ORC) WILSON, J. M. ZANE, J. O. Busby, W. X Lake. D. $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ Himrose, A Dille', E Fuller, XX Taylor, K. CORRES CONTROL X X

CLASSIFICATION:

TRAFFIC

UCNI		_
UNCLASSIFIED	X	<u> </u>
CONFIDENTIAL		
SECRET		

AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER
SIGNATURE
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAIVER PER

CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

ACTION ITEM STATUS

OPEN CLOSED

PARTIAL

LTR APPROVALS: WSB = VOU ORIG & TYPIST INITIALS

ALP dal RF-46469 (Rev. 9/92)

## **SEG&G ROCKY FLATS**

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.
ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966-7000

April 7, 1993

93-RF-4372

R. J. Schassburger
Acting Director
Environmental Restoration Division
DOE, RFO

Attn: S. Grace

CURRENT IMPACTS ON OPERABLE UNIT (OU) 2 SCHEDULE - WSB-228-93

Recent negotiations with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Health (CDH) (the Agencies) for OU 1 have impacted the proposed schedule for OU 2. While these schedule increases are difficult to quantify, they are significant enough to be of concern. In particular, the determination of how Contaminants of Concern (COCs) will be calculated for OU 1 is impacting the determination of COCs for OU 2.

Preliminary groundwater COCs had been determined for organics and presented to the Agencies. Work was progressing towards determining metals and radionuclide COCs. The OU 1 negotiations made it necessary to recalculate how these would be determined and will result in a delay in producing the groundwater COCs of up to four weeks. This delay may also impact the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) and the Ecological Evaluation. However, these delays have yet to be determined.

Additional problems with OU 2 include a major delay in receiving comments on the Exposure Scenario Technical Memorandum (TM) (TM-6) and the Modeling TM (TM-7). Comments for both TMs were due back on February 12. TM-7 comments were received on March 25, 1993, and TM-6 comments were received April 1, 1993. The delay in receiving comments has caused a delay in addressing the comments. The delay has impacted work on the Exposure Scenario which also impacts work for the HHRA. Work proceeded for the modeling part of the HHRA at the risk of needing to redo certain parts due to comments. These comments are currently being analyzed for impact on the program.

REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCNI
BY G. T. Ostdiek 520
DATE 4-12-93

R. J. Schassburger April 7, 1993 93-RF-4372 Page 2

While these delays are difficult to quantify, they will impact the schedule for the Draft Phase II Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facility investigation/remedial investigation (RFI/RI) Report which was scheduled to be delivered to the Agencies on December 16, 1993. The Department of Energy (DOE) will be informed as additional information on the schedule impacts becomes available. Please contact A. L. Primrose at extension 8618 if you would like additional information.

W. S. Busby

**Acting Director** 

ERM/Remediation Project Management

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.

ALP:dql

Orig. and 1 cc - R. J. Schassburger